

## Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 OECD P 03092 01 OF 02 302324Z

15

ACTION EUR-12

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 CIAE-00 DODE-00 NSAE-00 NSCE-00 SSO-00

USIE-00 INRE-00 AF-06 ARA-06 EA-07 NEA-10 FEA-01

ERDA-05 AID-05 CEA-01 CIEP-01 COME-00 EB-07 FPC-01

H-02 INR-07 INT-05 L-03 NSC-05 OMB-01 PM-04 SAM-01

OES-03 SP-02 SS-15 STR-04 TRSE-00 FRB-03 IO-11

OPIC-03 LAB-04 SIL-01 PRS-01 /138 W  
----- 114117

O P 310012Z JAN 76

FM USMISSION OECD PARIS

TO SECSTATE WASH DC IMMEDIATE 0400

INFO ALL IEA CAPITALS PRIORITY 0001

AMEMBASSY ABU DHABI PRIORITY

AMEMBASSY ALGIERS PRIORITY

USINT BAGHDAD PRIORITY

AMEMBASSY CARACAS PRIORITY

AMEMBASSY DOHA PRIORITY

AMEMBASSY JAKARTA PRIORITY

AMEMBASSY JIDDA PRIORITY

AMEMBASSY KUWAIT PRIORITY

AMEMBASSY LAGOS PRIORITY

AMEMBASSY LIBREVILLE PRIORITY

AMEMBASSY QUITO PRIORITY

AMEMBASSY TEHRAN PRIORITY

AMEMBASSY TRIPOLI PRIORITY

AMEMBASSY BRASILIA PRIORITY

AMEMBASSY KINSHASA PRIORITY

C O N F I D E N T I A L SECTION 01 OF 02 OECD PARIS 03092

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: ENRG, OECD

SUBJECT: IEA: GOVERNING BOARD MEETING OF JANUARY 29-30

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 OECD P 03092 01 OF 02 302324Z

SUMMARY: IN DIFFICULT TWO DAY MEETING DEVOTED ALMOST

ENTIRELY TO LONG TERM COOPERATION ISSUES, GB FORMALLY APPROVED, BY UNANIMOUS VOTE, UNCHANGED FINAL TEXT OF LTC PROGRAM. LONG TERM PROGRAM ISSUES REPORTED BELOW. PRODUCER/CONSUMER DIALOGUE DISCUSSION REPORTED SEPTTEL. END SUMMARY.

1. LONG TERM PROGRAM: PRINCIPAL ISSUES OF DEBATE REVOLVED AROUND CANADIAN STATEMENT REQUESTING EXEMPTION FOR CANADA FROM PROVISIONS OF CHAPTER V ON ACCESS TO INVESTMENT AND PRODUCT OFFTAKE. ALTHOUGH CANADIAN STATEMENT ALSO INCLUDES FIRM STATEMENT OF GOC SUPPORT FOR PROJECT-BY-PROJECT COOPERATION, AND RECOGNIZES THAT "GROWING INTERDEPENDENCE IN WORLD ECONOMIES CALLS FOR INTENSIFIED COOPERATION AND CANADIAN POLICIES WILL CONTINUE TO TAKE THISGROWING INTERDEPENDENCE INTO CONSIDERATION," JAPANESE DELEGATE (SUPPORTED BY ITALIANS AND OTHERS) SAID CANADIAN EXEMPTION WOULD CREATE REAL DIFFICULTIES FOR OTHERS IN EXPLAINING THEIR OWN COMMITMENT TO PROGRAM TO PUBLICS AND TO LEGISLATURES PARTICULARLY SINCE PROGRAM INTENDED TO BE BALANCED PACKAGE OF BURDENS AND BENEFITS.

PROBLEM WAS FINALLY RESOLVED THROUGH COUNTER-BALANCING, INTERPRETIVE STATEMENTS (I.E. IN ADDITION TO CANADIAN STATEMENT) TO BE INCLUDED IN OFFICIAL CONCLUSIONS OF MEETING BUT NOT TO BE MADE PART OF THE TEXT OF LTP. FIRST OF THESE IS JOINT ONE ON BEHALF OF OTHER IEA MEMBERS "RECOGNIZING" CANADIAN DECLARATION WITHOUT PASSING JUDGEMENT ON THE VIEWS EXPRESSED THEREIN," AND LINKING THE RECOGNITION TO THE BELIEF THAT CANADA "WILL FIND IT POSSIBLE, AS ITS FUTURE ENERGY POLICIES EVOLVE, TO MOVE CLOSER TO THE POSITION OF OTHER PARTICIPATING COUNTRIES AS EXPRESSED IN CHAPTER V." JAPANESE ALSO MADE BALANCING STATEMENT FOR RECORD POINTING OUT THAT JAPAN, "WHILE SUBSCRIBING TO THE PROVISIONS OF THE LTP WISHES TO POINT OUT THAT JAPAN'S ATTITUDE, IN IMPLEMENTING THE MEASURES FORESEEN IN THE PROGRAM, MAY BE INFLUENCED BY THE NATURE AND DEGREE OF COOPERATION WHICH IN FACT OCCURS BETWEEN PARTICIPATING COUNTRIES FOR ACHIEVING WITHIN THE SPIRIT OF THE IEP, THE OBJECTIVES EXPRESSED IN CHAPTER V."

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 OECD P 03092 01 OF 02 302324Z

2. UK PROBLEM: UK CONTINUED TO HAVE PROBLEMS WITH INCLUSION OF CONSUMPTION TAX IN THE LIST OF POSSIBLE MSP MEASURES. THEY AGREED TO ACCEPT EXISITING TEXT IF

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 OECD P 03092 02 OF 02 302329Z

15

ACTION EUR-12

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 CIAE-00 DODE-00 NSAE-00 NSCE-00 SSO-00

USIE-00 INRE-00 AF-06 ARA-06 EA-07 NEA-10 FEA-01

ERDA-05 AID-05 CEA-01 CIEP-01 COME-00 EB-07 FPC-01

H-02 INR-07 INT-05 L-03 NSC-05 OMB-01 PM-04 SAM-01

OES-03 SP-02 SS-15 STR-04 TRSE-00 FRB-03 IO-11

OPIC-03 LAB-04 SIL-01 PRS-01 /138 W

----- 114178

O P 310012Z JAN 76

FM USMISSION OECD PARIS

TO SECSTATE WASH DC IMMEDIATE 0401

INFO ALL IEA CAPITALS PRIORITY 0002

AMEMBASSY ABU DHABI PRIORITY

AMEMBASSY ALGIERS PRIORITYHWWTW

USINT BAGHDAD PRIORITY

AMEMBASSY CARACAS PRIORITY

AMEMBASSY DOHA PRIORITY

AMEMBASSY JAKARTA PRIORITY

AMEMBASSY JIDDA PRIORITY

AMEMBASSY KUWAIT PRIORITY

AMEMBASSY LAGOS PRIORITY

AMEMBASSY LIBREVILLE PRIORITY

AMEMBASSY QUITO PRIORITY

AMEMBASSY TEHRAN PRIORITY

AMEMBASSY TRIPOLI PRIORITY

AMEMBASSY BRASILIA PRIORITY

AMEMBASSY KINSHASA PRIORITY

C O N F I D E N T I A L SECTION 02 OF 02 OECD PARIS 03092

AS MANY DELEGATIONS AS POSSIBLE WOULD INDICATE THEIR  
INTENTION TO USE CONSUMPTION TAXES ONLY "AS A LAST  
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 OECD P 03092 02 OF 02 302329Z

RESORT AND AFTER FULL CONSULTATION WITH IEA MEMBERS."  
A MAJORITY OF COUNTRIES (INCLUDING U.S.) AGREED TO SUCH  
STATEMENT.

3. NORWEGIAN PROBLEM: NORWAY, WHICH BECAUSE OF  
SPECIAL ASSOCIATION AGREEMENT WITH IEA DOES NOT  
PARTICIPATE IN DECISIONS REQUIRING UNANIMITY BUT ONLY  
ADHERES AFTER DECISION ALREADY TAKEN, AGREED TAKE TEXT  
BACK TO OSLO FOR POSITIVE CONSIDERATION. NORWEGIAN  
DELEGATE STRESSED THAT ANY SUBSEQUENT NORWEGIAN  
DECISION TO ADHERE TO PROAFID BE PREDICATED ON  
ASSUMPTION THAT NORWEGIAN OIL WOULD NOT BE TREATED AS  
"IMPORTED OIL" UNDER THE MSP WHEN TRADED WITHIN THE EC  
(AS IS PROVIDED IN CHAOTER 3 D OF TEXT). SINCE NORWAY  
WOULD BE ADHERING TO IEA PROGRAM BEFORE EC COMPLETES  
ITS OWN ENERGY PROGRAM, AGREED FORMULATION PROVIDED  
THAT IF MSP COULD NOT BE IMPLEMENTED IN DESCRIBED  
MANNER, BECAUSE OF PROBLEMS WITHIN EC, THEN NORWAY WOULD  
HAVE THE RIGHT TO RECONSIDER ITS POSITION OF ADHERENCE.

4. A FEW DELEGATIONS (UK, NEW ZEALAND, SPAIN, JAPAN,  
ENTERED PROCEDURAL RESERVATIONS ON TEXT, NOTING  
THAT THEY DIDN'T HAVE CLEARANCE AUTHORITY TO ACCEDE TO  
PROGRAM ON FORMAL BASIS. SINCE THESE DELEGATIONS  
AGREED NOT TO BLOCK ADOPTION OF PROGRAM ON UNANIMOUS  
BASIS, THEIR RESERVATIONS WERE ACCEPTED BY GB WITH  
UNDERSTANDING THAT RESERVE D'ATTENTE WOULD BE LIFTED  
WITHIN A FEW DAYS.  
TURNER

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

## Message Attributes

**Automatic Decaptioning:** X  
**Capture Date:** 01 JAN 1994  
**Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Current Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Concepts:** ENERGY, MEETINGS, INTERGOVERNMENTAL COOPERATION  
**Control Number:** n/a  
**Copy:** SINGLE  
**Draft Date:** 31 JAN 1976  
**Decaption Date:** 01 JAN 1960  
**Decaption Note:**  
**Disposition Action:** RELEASED  
**Disposition Approved on Date:**  
**Disposition Authority:** CunninFX  
**Disposition Case Number:** n/a  
**Disposition Comment:** 25 YEAR REVIEW  
**Disposition Date:** 28 MAY 2004  
**Disposition Event:**  
**Disposition History:** n/a  
**Disposition Reason:**  
**Disposition Remarks:**  
**Document Number:** 1976OECDP03092  
**Document Source:** CORE  
**Document Unique ID:** 00  
**Drafter:** n/a  
**Enclosure:** n/a  
**Executive Order:** GS  
**Errors:** N/A  
**Film Number:** D760036-0585  
**From:** OECD PARIS  
**Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Image Path:**  
**ISecure:** 1  
**Legacy Key:** link1976/newtext/t1976012/aaaaabaz.tel  
**Line Count:** 202  
**Locator:** TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM  
**Office:** ACTION EUR  
**Original Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Original Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Original Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Original Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Page Count:** 4  
**Previous Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Previous Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Reference:** n/a  
**Review Action:** RELEASED, APPROVED  
**Review Authority:** CunninFX  
**Review Comment:** n/a  
**Review Content Flags:**  
**Review Date:** 22 APR 2004  
**Review Event:**  
**Review Exemptions:** n/a  
**Review History:** RELEASED <22 APR 2004 by BoyleJA>; APPROVED <09 AUG 2004 by CunninFX>  
**Review Markings:**

Margaret P. Grafeld  
Declassified/Released  
US Department of State  
EO Systematic Review  
04 MAY 2006

**Review Media Identifier:**  
**Review Referrals:** n/a  
**Review Release Date:** n/a  
**Review Release Event:** n/a  
**Review Transfer Date:**  
**Review Withdrawn Fields:** n/a  
**Secure:** OPEN  
**Status:** NATIVE  
**Subject:** IEA: GOVERNING BOARD MEETING OF JANUARY 29-30 CONFIDENTIAL  
**TAGS:** ENRG, OECD, IEA  
**To:** STATE  
**Type:** TE  
**Markings:** Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 04 MAY 2006